

REMARKS

Claims 3-4, 6, 12 and 16 are canceled.

Claims 1-2, 5, 7-11, 13-15, 17-24 are pending.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-11, 13-15 and 17-24 are rejected.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-11, 13-15 and 17-24 are cancelled, without prejudice.

New claims 25-37 are added.

No new matter is added.

Applicant requests reconsideration and allowance of the claims in light of the above amendments and following remarks.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

Claims 12, 5, 8-11, 14-15, 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,787,402 to Potter (“Potter”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,643 to Minton (“Minton”).

Claim 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter and Minton as applied to claims 5 & 11 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,412,287 to Braddock (“Braddock”).

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections.

Applicant does not acquiesce in the interpretation of the rejected claims or the cited references by the Examiner, to facilitate the allowance of this case, claims 1, 2, 5, 7-11, 13-15 and 17-24 are cancelled, without prejudice. Therefore, the rejections are rendered moot.

Also, new claims 25-37 are added to further clarify the patentable subject matter of the claimed invention.

The cited references, either alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest all of the limitations of new claims 25-37. Further, as evidence to commercial success or unexpected benefits of the claimed invention, applicant hereby submits a copy of the license agreements between the applicant and the licensees and also the translation thereof.

At least for the reasons stated above, the rejections do not present a *prima facie* case of obviousness. Therefore, new claims 25-37 are patentable.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, reconsideration and allowance of claims 25-37 of the application as amended is solicited. The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (503) 222-3613 if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

Respectfully submitted,


MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.




Hosoon Lee
Limited Recognition Under 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 SW Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205
503-222-3613
Customer No. 20575

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE; Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Date: August 10, 2004



Hosoon Lee



RECEIVED

AUG 18 2004

GROUP 3600


**BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Hosoon Lee is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b), as an employee of the law firm of Marger Johnson & McCollom, PC, to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of the law firm of Marger Johnson & McCollom, PC, and a registered practitioner, who is a member of the law firm of Marger Johnson & McCollom, PC, is the practitioner of record in the application. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Hosoon Lee ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Hosoon Lee's employment with the law firm of Marger Johnson & McCollom, PC, ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Hosoon Lee ceases to remain or reside in the United States, authorized to be employed by an Employment Authorization Card issued pursuant to 8 CFR § 274a.12(c)(9).

This document constitutes proof of such limited recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: July 16, 2005


Harry I. Moatz
Director of Enrollment and Discipline